

## Snyder, Raquel

---

**From:** May, Eric (Pryor) <Eric\_May@pryor.senate.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 24, 2014 10:26 AM  
**To:** Snyder, Raquel  
**Cc:** Levine, Carolyn; Ridgeway, Erin (Pryor)  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting with Senator Pryor

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Thank you very much. The meeting will be in Senator Pryor's office (Senate Dirksen 255), and I will get the rest of the information to you as soon as I can. In the meantime, please let me know if you have any additional information.

-Eric

---

**From:** Snyder, Raquel [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 24, 2014 9:08 AM  
**To:** May, Eric (Pryor)  
**Cc:** Levine, Carolyn  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting with Senator Pryor

Hi Eric,

Mathy Stanislaus, Assistant Administrator for the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, will be able to attend the meeting with your boss and his constituents on Tuesday May 6 at 4:30PM. In advance of this meeting, please let us know the location, if not the Senator's immediate office, and the names and titles of the constituents who will attend.

Also, please let us know which type of Brownfields grant they applied for.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
Congressional Liaison  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202)564-9586

---

**From:** May, Eric (Pryor) [mailto:Eric\_May@pryor.senate.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 23, 2014 11:51 AM  
**To:** Snyder, Raquel  
**Subject:** FW: Meeting with Senator Pryor

Raquel,

Thank you for the call. Here is my message I had sent to Carolyn. Please let me know if you have any questions.

-Eric

---

**From:** May, Eric (Pryor)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 23, 2014 11:46 AM

**To:** [levine.carolyn@epa.gov](mailto:levine.carolyn@epa.gov)

**Subject:** Meeting with Senator Pryor

Carolyn,

I just left you a voicemail, but wanted to follow up in an email. Senator Pryor has a constituent meeting on Tuesday, May 6<sup>th</sup>, at 4:30pm. The issue is concerning the Majestic Hotel in Hot Springs, Arkansas, and Senator Pryor wants the EPA Assistant Administrator handling Brownfields, which I believe is Mr. Mathy Stanislaus, to attend the meeting. Please let me know if you have any questions. My direct line is 202-224-4489. I look forward to hearing from you.

Eric May

Senator Mark Pryor



**RE: SPCC rule**  
**Raquel Snyder** to: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Cc: Carolyn Levine, "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"

02/07/2012 08:02 AM

I believe it does but I will get confirmation. Regardless, all farms must meet the compliance deadline of May 10, 2013.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"      Hi Raquel - thanks for getting back to me. I w...

02/07/2012 07:58:20 AM

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)" <Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
To: Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)" <Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/07/2012 07:58 AM  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

Hi Raquel - thanks for getting back to me. I want to confirm with you that the phrase "regulations then in effect" means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Raquel Snyder [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 7:50 AM  
To: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Cc: Massanelli, Randy (Pryor); Carolyn Levine  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

Hi Stephen,

Facilities and operations (which included farms) which stored more than 1320 gallons of oil, which if a release/spill occurred could reach and pollute waters of the U.S., were required since regulations were issued in the mid-1970's to have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan. That plan would have needed to meet new SPCC requirements by August 16, 2002.

But, EPA has issued a series of extensions, so that farms have not had to meet the new SPCC plan requirements, they can keep their existing spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013, by which time the plan needs to meet the updated requirements. Farms which started operations after August 16, 2002, need not have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013.

For more information about the SPCC extension for farms, please see:  
[http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm)

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Raquel  
Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:05 PM  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

hi Stephen,

I am cc'ing Raquel Snyder in my office who is familiar with the SPCC regs. to address your question.

-----  
Carolyn Levine  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-1859  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
To: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:02 PM  
Subject: SPCC rule

Hi Carolyn -- Senator Pryor's office has received several inquiries regarding the SPCC Compliance Date Extension for Farms [http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm). Specifically, many Arkansas farmers are asking about the statement on the website "The amendment does not remove the regulatory requirement for owners or operators of farms in operation before August 16, 2002, to maintain and continue implementing an SPCC Plan in accordance with the SPCC regulations then in effect."

Please confirm that the phrase "SPCC regulations then in effect" means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002. On July 17, 2002, EPA published a final rule that amended the SPCC regulations (67 FR 47042), which became effective on August 16, 2002. We want to be sure we are telling these farmers correct information. Thanks.



**RE: SPCC rule**  
**Raquel Snyder** to: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)

02/08/2012 09:32 AM

I sent an email again this morning. I will let you know as soon as I hear.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

-----"Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)" <Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov> wrote: -----

=====

To: Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)" <Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
Date: 02/08/2012 09:31AM  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

=====

Have you found out anything new on what "regulations then in effect" means. Thanks.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant  
202-228-3063  
stephen\_lehrman@pryor.senate.gov  
Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Raquel Snyder [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 8:03 AM  
To: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Cc: Carolyn Levine; Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

I believe it does but I will get confirmation. Regardless, all farms must meet the compliance deadline of May 10, 2013.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:

<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

Description: Description: Description: Description: Newsletter\_24x24

Description: Description: Description: Description: FaceBook\_24x24

Description: Description: Description: Description: Twitter\_24x24

Description: Description: Description: Description: Youtube\_24x24

<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
To: Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Carolyn  
Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/07/2012 07:58 AM  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

Hi Raquel - thanks for getting back to me. I want to confirm with you that the phrase "regulations then in effect" means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Raquel Snyder [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 7:50 AM  
To: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Cc: Massanelli, Randy (Pryor); Carolyn Levine  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

Hi Stephen,

Facilities and operations (which included farms) which stored more than 1320 gallons of oil, which if a release/spill occurred could reach and pollute waters of the U.S., were required since regulations were issued in the mid-1970's to have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan. That plan would have needed to meet new SPCC requirements by August 16, 2002.

But, EPA has issued a series of extensions, so that farms have not had to meet the new SPCC plan requirements, they can keep their existing spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013, by which time the plan needs to meet the updated requirements. Farms which started operations after August 16, 2002, need not have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013.

For more information about the SPCC extension for farms, please see:  
[http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm)

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>

Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Raquel  
Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:05 PM  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

hi Stephen,

I am cc'ing Raquel Snyder in my office who is familiar with the SPCC regs. to address your question.

-----  
Carolyn Levine  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-1859  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
To: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:02 PM  
Subject: SPCC rule

Hi Carolyn – Senator Pryor's office has received several inquiries regarding the SPCC Compliance Date Extension for Farms [http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm) . Specifically, many Arkansas farmers are asking about the statement on the website "The amendment does not remove the regulatory requirement for owners or operators of farms in operation before August 16, 2002, to maintain and continue implementing an SPCC Plan in accordance with the SPCC regulations then in effect."

Please confirm that the phrase "SPCC regulations then in effect" means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002. On July 17, 2002, EPA published a final rule that amended the SPCC regulations (67 FR 47042), which became effective on August 16, 2002. We want to be sure we are telling these farmers correct information. Thanks.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>  
Description: Description: Description: Description: Newsletter\_24x24  
Description: Description: Description: Description: FaceBook\_24x24  
Description: Description: Description: Description: Twitter\_24x24  
Description: Description: Description: Description: Youtube\_24x24



**RE: SPCC rule**

**Raquel Snyder** to: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)

Bcc: Raquel Snyder

02/08/2012 10:39 AM

The final paragraph of text you are referring to on our SPCC web page (see below) states that farms in operation before April 16, 2002 must continue to maintain and implement their SPCC plan as then required by regulation (those would be the regulations in effect before April 16, 2002. Farms have gotten a series of extensions from needing to comply with amended SPCC regulations which went final on April 16, 2002. Farms need not comply with the April 16, 2002 regulations until May 10, 2013.

The amendment does not remove the regulatory requirement for owners or operators of farms in operation before August 16, 2002, to maintain and continue implementing an SPCC Plan in accordance with the SPCC regulations then in effect. Such farms continue to be required to maintain plans during the interim until the applicable compliance date for amending and implementing the amended Plans. Finally, the amendment does not relieve farms from any other applicable environmental regulations or requirements.

Note: the chart which appears below the text on the web page (see below) makes it clear as well - farms in operation on or before August 16, 2002 must maintain their existing SPCC plan (which means the plan required by pre-August 16, 2002 SPCC regulations). Farms won't need to comply with the amended August 16, 2002 regulations until May 10, 2013.

What are the proposed compliance dates for farms?

A Farm starting operation...

Must...

On or before August 16, 2002

Maintain its existing SPCC Plan

Amend and implement the amended SPCC Plan no later than May 10, 2013

After August 16, 2002 through May 10, 2013

Prepare and implement an SPCC Plan no later than May 10, 2013

After May 10, 2013

Prepare and implement an SPCC Plan before beginning operations

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder

U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-9586

FAX: (202) 501-1550

-----"Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)" <Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov> wrote: -----

=====  
To: Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)" <Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
Date: 02/08/2012 09:31AM  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule  
=====

Have you found out anything new on what "regulations then in effect" means. Thanks.

Stephen Lehrman

Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant  
202-228-3063  
stephen\_lehrman@pryor.senate.gov  
Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Raquel Snyder [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 8:03 AM  
To: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Cc: Carolyn Levine; Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

I believe it does but I will get confirmation. Regardless, all farms must meet the compliance deadline of May 10, 2013.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
To: Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Carolyn  
Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/07/2012 07:58 AM  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

Hi Raquel - thanks for getting back to me. I want to confirm with you that the phrase "regulations then in effect" means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Raquel Snyder [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 7:50 AM  
To: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Cc: Massanelli, Randy (Pryor); Carolyn Levine

Subject: Re: SPCC rule

Hi Stephen,

Facilities and operations (which included farms) which stored more than 1320 gallons of oil, which if a release/spill occurred could reach and pollute waters of the U.S., were required since regulations were issued in the mid-1970's to have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan. That plan would have needed to meet new SPCC requirements by August 16, 2002.

But, EPA has issued a series of extensions, so that farms have not had to meet the new SPCC plan requirements, they can keep their existing spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013, by which time the plan needs to meet the updated requirements. Farms which started operations after August 16, 2002, need not have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013.

For more information about the SPCC extension for farms, please see:  
[http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm)

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Raquel  
Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:05 PM  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

hi Stephen,

I am cc'ing Raquel Snyder in my office who is familiar with the SPCC regs. to address your question.

-----  
Carolyn Levine  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-1859  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
To: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:02 PM  
Subject: SPCC rule

Hi Carolyn – Senator Pryor’s office has received several inquiries regarding the SPCC Compliance Date Extension for Farms [http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm) . Specifically, many Arkansas farmers are asking about the statement on the website “The amendment does not remove the regulatory requirement for owners or operators of farms in operation before August 16, 2002, to maintain and continue implementing an SPCC Plan in accordance with the SPCC regulations then in effect.”

Please confirm that the phrase “SPCC regulations then in effect” means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002. On July 17, 2002, EPA published a final rule that amended the SPCC regulations (67 FR 47042), which became effective on August 16, 2002. We want to be sure we are telling these farmers correct information. Thanks.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>  
Description: Description: Description: Description: Newsletter\_24x24  
Description: Description: Description: Description: FaceBook\_24x24  
Description: Description: Description: Description: Twitter\_24x24  
Description: Description: Description: Description: Youtube\_24x24



**Re: SPCC rule**  
**Raquel Snyder** to: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)

02/08/2012 03:43 PM

Hi Stephen,

I am checking now.  
Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202)564-9586  
FAX:(202)501-1550

----- Original Message -----

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)" [Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov]  
Sent: 02/08/2012 07:41 PM GMT  
To: Raquel Snyder  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

I left you a voicemail. Please call me at . I am confused about the "April" 16, 2002 date.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant  
202-228-3063  
stephen\_lehrman@pryor.senate.gov  
Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Raquel Snyder [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2012 10:39 AM  
To: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

The final paragraph of text you are referring to on our SPCC web page (see below) states that farms in operation before April 16, 2002 must continue to maintain and implement their SPCC plan as then required by regulation (those would be the regulations in effect before April 16, 2002. Farms have gotten a series of extensions from needing to comply with amended SPCC regulations which went final on April 16, 2002. Farms need not comply with the April 16, 2002 regulations until May 10, 2013.

The amendment does not remove the regulatory requirement for owners or operators of farms in operation before August 16, 2002, to maintain and continue implementing an SPCC Plan in accordance with the SPCC regulations then in effect. Such farms continue to be required to maintain plans during the interim until the applicable compliance date for amending and implementing the amended Plans. Finally, the amendment does not relieve farms from any other applicable environmental regulations or requirements.

Note: the chart which appears below the text on the web page (see below) makes it clear as well - farms in operation

on or before August 16, 2002 must maintain their existing SPCC plan (which means the plan required by pre-August 16, 2002 SPCC regulations). Farms won't need to comply with the amended August 16, 2002 regulations until May 10, 2013.

What are the proposed compliance dates for farms?

A Farm starting operation...

Must...

On or before August 16, 2002

Maintain its existing SPCC Plan

Amend and implement the amended SPCC Plan no later than May 10, 2013 After August 16, 2002 through May 10, 2013 Prepare and implement an SPCC Plan no later than May 10, 2013 After May 10, 2013 Prepare and implement an SPCC Plan before beginning operations

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

-----"Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)" <Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov> wrote: -----

=====

To: Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)" <Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
Date: 02/08/2012 09:31AM  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

=====

Have you found out anything new on what "regulations then in effect" means. Thanks.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

-----  
Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Raquel Snyder [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 8:03 AM  
To: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Cc: Carolyn Levine; Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

I believe it does but I will get confirmation. Regardless, all farms must meet the compliance deadline of May 10, 2013.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
To: Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Carolyn  
Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/07/2012 07:58 AM  
Subject: RE: SPCC rule

Hi Raquel - thanks for getting back to me. I want to confirm with you that the phrase "regulations then in effect" means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Raquel Snyder [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 7:50 AM  
To: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Cc: Massanelli, Randy (Pryor); Carolyn Levine  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

Hi Stephen,

Facilities and operations (which included farms) which stored more than 1320 gallons of oil, which if a release/spill occurred could reach and pollute waters of the U.S., were required since regulations were issued in the mid-1970's to have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan. That plan would have needed to meet new SPCC requirements by August 16, 2002.

But, EPA has issued a series of extensions, so that farms have not had to meet the new SPCC plan requirements, they can keep their existing spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013, by which time the plan needs to meet the updated requirements. Farms which started operations after August 16, 2002, need not have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013.

For more information about the SPCC extension for farms, please see:  
[http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm)

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Raquel  
Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:05 PM  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

hi Stephen,

I am cc'ing Raquel Snyder in my office who is familiar with the SPCC regs. to address your question.

-----  
Carolyn Levine  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-1859  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
To: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:02 PM  
Subject: SPCC rule

Hi Carolyn – Senator Pryor's office has received several inquiries regarding the SPCC Compliance Date Extension for Farms [http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm) . Specifically, many Arkansas farmers are asking about the statement on the website "The amendment does not remove the regulatory requirement for owners or operators of farms in operation before August 16, 2002, to maintain and continue implementing an SPCC Plan in accordance with the SPCC regulations then in effect."

Please confirm that the phrase "SPCC regulations then in effect" means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002. On July 17, 2002, EPA published a final rule that amended the SPCC regulations (67 FR 47042), which became effective on August 16, 2002. We want to be sure we are telling these farmers correct information. Thanks.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:



**Re: SPCC rule**  
**Raquel Snyder** to: Stephen\_Lehrman  
Cc: Randy\_Massanelli, Carolyn Levine

02/07/2012 07:49 AM

Hi Stephen,

Facilities and operations (which included farms) which stored more than 1320 gallons of oil, which if a release/spill occurred could reach and pollute waters of the U.S., were required since regulations were issued in the mid-1970's to have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan. That plan would have needed to meet new SPCC requirements by August 16, 2002. But, EPA has issued a series of extensions, so that farms have not had to meet the new SPCC plan requirements, they can keep their existing spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013, by which time the plan needs to meet the updated requirements. Farms which started operations after August 16, 2002, need not have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013.

For more information about the SPCC extension for farms, please see:  
[http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm)

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

Carolyn Levine      hi Stephen, I am cc'ing Raquel Snyder in my office who...      02/06/2012 02:05:42 PM

From: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)" <Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)" <Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:05 PM  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

hi Stephen,

I am cc'ing Raquel Snyder in my office who is familiar with the SPCC regs. to address your question.

-----  
Carolyn Levine  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-1859  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"      Hi Carolyn - Senator Pryor's office has receive...      02/06/2012 02:02:22 PM



**EPA Adds Nine Hazardous Waste Sites to Superfund's National Priorities List,  
Proposing an Additional 10 Sites**

Raquel Snyder to: stephen\_lehrman

03/13/2012 12:22 PM

**\*\*\*EMBARGOED UNTIL 1PM TODAY\*\*\*\*\***

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is adding nine new hazardous waste sites that pose risks to people's health and the environment to the National Priorities List (NPL) of Superfund sites, and is proposing to include 10 additional sites. Superfund is the federal program that investigates and cleans up the most complex, uncontrolled or abandoned hazardous waste sites in the country.

Since 1983, 1,661 sites have been listed on the NPL. Of these sites, 359 sites have been cleaned up resulting in 1,302 sites currently on the NPL (including the nine sites added today). There are 62 proposed sites (including the 10 announced today) awaiting final agency action.

Contaminants found at the sites include arsenic, benzene, cadmium, chromium, copper, creosote, dichloroethene (DCE), lead, mercury, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), tetrachloroethylene (PCE), pentachlorophenol (PCP), trichloroethane (TCA), trichloroethylene (TCE), toluene, uranium and zinc.

With all NPL sites, EPA works to identify companies or people responsible for the contamination at a site, and require them to conduct or pay for the cleanup. For the newly listed sites without viable potentially responsible parties, EPA will investigate the full extent of the contamination before starting significant cleanup at the site. Therefore, it may be several years before significant EPA clean up funding is required for these sites.

The following nine sites have been added to the National Priorities List:

- Continental Cleaners (former dry cleaners) in Miami, Fla.;
- Sauer Dump (inactive dump) in Dundalk, Md.;
- Compass Plaza Well TCE (contaminated ground water plume) in Rogersville, Mo.;
- Chemfax, Inc. (former manufacturer of synthetic resins and waxes) in Gulfport, Miss.;
- Southeastern Wood Preserving (former wood treating operation) in Canton, Miss.;
- CTS of Asheville, Inc. (former electronics components manufacturer) in Asheville, N.C.;
- Eighteenmile Creek (contaminated creek) in Niagara County, N.Y.;
- Metro Container Corporation (former drum recycler) in Trainer, Pa.; and
- Corozal Well (contaminated ground water plume) in Corozal, Puerto Rico;

The following 10 sites have been proposed for addition to the National Priorities List:

- Cedar Chemical Corporation (former chemical manufacturer) in West Helena, Ark.;
- Fairfax St. Wood Treaters (former wood treating operation) in Jacksonville, Fla.;
- Macon Naval Ordnance Plant (former ordnance manufacturer) in Macon, Ga.;

- Bautsch-Gray Mine (former lead and zinc mine) in Galena, Ill.;
- EVR-Wood Treating/Evangeline Refining Company (former wood treating operation) in Jennings, La.;
- Holcomb Creosote Co (former wood treating operation) in Yadkinville, N.C.;
- Orange Valley Regional Ground Water Contamination (contaminated ground water plume) in Orange/West Orange, N.J.;
- Jackpile-Paguate Uranium Mine (former uranium mine) in Laguna Pueblo, N.M.;
- West Troy Contaminated Aquifer (contaminated ground water plume) in Troy, Ohio; and
- Circle Court Ground Water Plume (contaminated ground water plume) in Willow Park, Texas.

EPA is also withdrawing its earlier proposal to add the Arnold Engineering Development Center site in Coffee and Franklin Counties, Tennessee to the NPL. This site is being addressed under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) program. Cleanup is progressing successfully, the migration of contaminated ground water is under control and measures have been taken that are protective of human health.

Federal Register notices and supporting documents for the final and proposed sites:  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/npl/current.htm>

Information about how a site is listed on the NPL:  
[http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/npl/npl\\_hrs.htm](http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/npl/npl_hrs.htm)

Superfund sites in local communities:  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/index.htm>

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
 U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
 (202) 564-9586  
 FAX: (202) 501-1550

=====

File PryorR6.pdf was moved to F:\Email Migration Oversize Attachments Snyder\PryorR6\_1.pdf on 11/30/2012



**{In Archive} RE: SPCC rule**  
**Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)** to: Raquel Snyder  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)", Carolyn Levine

02/07/2012 07:58 AM

History: This message has been replied to and forwarded.  
Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Hi Raquel - thanks for getting back to me. I want to confirm with you that the phrase "regulations then in effect" means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Raquel Snyder [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 7:50 AM  
To: Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)  
Cc: Massanelli, Randy (Pryor); Carolyn Levine  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

Hi Stephen,

Facilities and operations (which included farms) which stored more than 1320 gallons of oil, which if a release/spill occurred could reach and pollute waters of the U.S., were required since regulations were issued in the mid-1970's to have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan. That plan would have needed to meet new SPCC requirements by August 16, 2002.

But, EPA has issued a series of extensions, so that farms have not had to meet the new SPCC plan requirements, they can keep their existing spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013, by which time the plan needs to meet the updated requirements. Farms which started operations after August 16, 2002, need not have an SPCC oil spill prevention plan until May 10, 2013.

For more information about the SPCC extension for farms, please see:  
[http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm)

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"

Cc: <Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
"Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, Raquel  
Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:05 PM  
Subject: Re: SPCC rule

hi Stephen,

I am cc'ing Raquel Snyder in my office who is familiar with the SPCC regs. to address your question.

-----  
Carolyn Levine  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202) 564-1859  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

From: "Lehrman, Stephen (Pryor)"  
<Stephen\_Lehrman@pryor.senate.gov>  
To: Carolyn Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>  
Date: 02/06/2012 02:02 PM  
Subject: SPCC rule

Hi Carolyn – Senator Pryor's office has received several inquiries regarding the SPCC Compliance Date Extension for Farms [http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc\\_extfarms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/spcc/spcc_extfarms.htm) . Specifically, many Arkansas farmers are asking about the statement on the website "The amendment does not remove the regulatory requirement for owners or operators of farms in operation before August 16, 2002, to maintain and continue implementing an SPCC Plan in accordance with the SPCC regulations then in effect."

Please confirm that the phrase "SPCC regulations then in effect" means the regulations in effect on August 16, 2002. On July 17, 2002, EPA published a final rule that amended the SPCC regulations (67 FR 47042), which became effective on August 16, 2002. We want to be sure we are telling these farmers correct information. Thanks.

Stephen Lehrman  
Office of Senator Mark Pryor  
Legislative Assistant

Connect with Senator Pryor:  
<http://www.pryor.senate.gov>  
Description: Description: Description: Description: Newsletter\_24x24

Description: Description: Description: Description: FaceBook\_24x24  
Description: Description: Description: Description: Twitter\_24x24  
Description: Description: Description: Description: Youtube\_24x24



Archive:

**{In Archive} Re: EPA call for Senator**

**Raquel Snyder** to: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)

08/08/2008 04:21 PM

This message is being viewed in an archive.

Hi Andrew,

I never heard back from staff on the Senator's availability so let's try and schedule this for early next week.

Have a great weekend!

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder

U.S. EPA

Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations

(202) 564-9586

FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)" <Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov>



"Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)"  
<Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov>

08/08/2008 11:45 AM

To Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

cc "Pitcock, Jim (Pryor)" <Jim\_Pitcock@pryor.senate.gov>, "Russell, Bob (Pryor)" <Bob\_Russell@pryor.senate.gov>, "Neel, Callie (Pryor)" <Callie\_Neel@pryor.senate.gov>, "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)" <Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>

Subject EPA call for Senator

Raquel,

Thanks for taking my call and helping to set up a call with Senator Pryor. While he would have liked to speak with Administrator Johnson on the Frelø matter, we understand that he's not available due to travel. The Senator appreciates the opportunity to speak with Mr. Barry Breen, Deputy Assistant Administrator at the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. I will allow for our state office to take over from here in scheduling a time that works for both the Senator and Mr. Breen. As I mentioned in my voicemail to you, this is an issue that is very time sensitive given the spill in the Mississippi River and the Coast Guard's desire to use the Frelø Hydrocarbon Converter product in the cleanup that is taking place. Unfortunately, the Frelø product currently can't be used in the cleanup because it's not yet on the EPA National Contingency Plan (NCP) Product Schedule under the Miscellaneous Oil Spill Control Agent Category.

We appreciate your efforts to accommodate this call.

Thanks,

Andrew

*Andrew Grobmyer*

*Legislative Assistant  
Senator Mark Pryor  
255 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510*

*<http://pryor.senate.gov>*

*Click Here to sign up for Senator Pryor's e-newsletter*



**{In Archive} RE: EPA call for Senator**  
**Raquel Snyder** to: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)

08/08/2008 04:27 PM

Archive:

This message is being viewed in an archive.

Who is he calling?

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA  
Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550  
"Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)" <Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov>



"Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)"  
<Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov>

To Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

08/08/2008 04:25 PM

Subject RE: EPA call for Senator

Ok. The Senator may attempt to call shortly. Our scheduler was going to send a reminder to him.

Andrew Grobmyer  
Legislative Assistant  
Senator Mark Pryor  
255 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

<http://pryor.senate.gov>  
Click Here to sign up for Senator Pryor's e-newsletter

-----Original Message-----

From: Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, August 08, 2008 4:21 PM  
To: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)  
Subject: Re: EPA call for Senator

Hi Andrew,

I never heard back from staff on the Senator's availability so let's try and schedule this for early next week.

Have a great weekend!

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder

U.S. EPA  
Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Grobmyer,  
Andrew (Pryor)"  
<Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov> To  
Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
v> cc  
"Pitcock, Jim (Pryor)"  
08/08/2008 11:45 <Jim\_Pitcock@pryor.senate.gov>,  
AM "Russell, Bob (Pryor)"  
<Bob\_Russell@pryor.senate.gov>,  
"Neel, Callie (Pryor)"  
<Callie\_Neel@pryor.senate.gov>,  
"Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)"  
<Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>  
v>  
Subject  
EPA call for Senator

Raquel,

Thanks for taking my call and helping to set up a call with Senator Pryor. While he would have liked to speak with Administrator Johnson on the Frelø matter, we understand that he's not available due to travel. The Senator appreciates the opportunity to speak with Mr. Barry Breen, Deputy Assistant Administrator at the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. I will allow for our state office to take over from here in scheduling a time that works for both the Senator and Mr. Breen. As I mentioned in my voicemail to you, this is an issue that is very time sensitive given the spill in the Mississippi River and the Coast Guard's desire to use the Frelø Hydrocarbon Converter product in the cleanup that is taking place. Unfortunately, the Frelø product currently can't be used in the cleanup because it's not yet on the EPA National Contingency Plan (NCP) Product Schedule under the Miscellaneous Oil Spill Control Agent Category.

We appreciate your efforts to accommodate this call.

Thanks,

Andrew

Andrew Grobmyer  
Legislative Assistant  
Senator Mark Pryor  
255 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

<http://pryor.senate.gov>  
Click Here to sign up for Senator Pryor's e-newsletter



**{In Archive} Re: EPA Call for Senator Pryor** 

**Raquel Snyder** to: Neel, Callie (Pryor)

08/11/2008 08:48 AM

Archive:

This message is being viewed in an archive.

Nothing yet. I've left messages this morning for folks here and have not heard back. I'll contact you as soon as I do.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder

U.S. EPA

Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations

(202) 564-9586

FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Neel, Callie (Pryor)" <Callie\_Neel@pryor.senate.gov>



"Neel, Callie (Pryor)"

<Callie\_Neel@pryor.senate.gov

>

To Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

08/11/2008 08:47 AM

Subject Re: EPA Call for Senator Pryor

Hey Raquel,  
Any word?

**From:** Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov

**To:** Neel, Callie (Pryor)

**Sent:** Fri Aug 08 18:08:47 2008

**Subject:** Re: EPA Call for Senator Pryor

Folks here are gone for the day-as am I. I'll check first thing monday morning and get back to you.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder

U.S. EPA

Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations

(202) 564-9586

FAX: (202) 501-1550

-----"Neel, Callie (Pryor)" <Callie\_Neel@pryor.senate.gov> wrote: -----

To: Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

From: "Neel, Callie (Pryor)" <Callie\_Neel@pryor.senate.gov>

Date: 08/08/2008 05:51 PM

cc: "Massanelli, Randy (Pryor)" <Randy\_Massanelli@pryor.senate.gov>, "Russell, Bob (Pryor)"

<Bob\_Russell@pryor.senate.gov>, "Pitcock, Jim (Pryor)" <Jim\_Pitcock@pryor.senate.gov>, "Grobmyer, Andrew

(Pryor)" <Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov>, "Teague, Michael (Pryor)"

<Michael\_Teague@pryor.senate.gov>

Subject: EPA Call for Senator Pryor

Raquel,

Senator Pryor will be available from **9:00am-10:00amEST on Monday** to place a call to the EPA. Can you give me the appropriate contact information for the Senator to place a call? I understand that Administrator Johnson was traveling today, but I wasn't sure if he would be available Monday. Thanks in advance for any assistance.

Callie Neel

State Scheduler

United States Senator Mark Pryor

500 President Clinton Ave

Suite 401

Little Rock, AR 72201

(501)324-6336

Fax (501)3245320



**{In Archive} Re: Frelø**

**Raquel Snyder** to: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)

08/14/2008 12:06 PM

Archive:

This message is being viewed in an archive.

It must be scheduled through us-but I need to check with the Administrator's office to see if he is even here.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder

U.S. EPA

Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations

(202) 564-9586

FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)" <Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov>



**"Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)"**

<Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov>

To Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

08/14/2008 12:01 PM

Subject Re: Frelø

Ok. Could you provide me with a contact for the Administrator's scheduler?

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov <Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov>

To: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)

Cc: Levine.Carolyn@epamail.epa.gov <Levine.Carolyn@epamail.epa.gov>; Deitz.Randy@epamail.epa.gov

<Deitz.Randy@epamail.epa.gov>

Sent: Thu Aug 14 09:32:25 2008

Subject: Re: Frelø

Good morning,

I just left you a message as well. I did sent the information you gave to me to Mr. Breen on Tuesday.

My understanding is that EPA last talked with Frelø Tech around July 30 and we are reviewing their application. As Mr. Breen stated in the call, we have 60 days to respond to Frelø Tech and we are very aware that the Senator would like this made a high priority.

I'm not sure what more information you are looking for. Can you be more specific?

Also, I am not the Administrator's scheduler and do not know if he is back from travel. I'll have to check with his office.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA  
Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Grobmyer,  
Andrew (Pryor)"  
<Andrew\_Grobmyer  
@pryor.senate.go To  
Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
v> cc

08/14/2008 09:19 Subject  
AM Frelo

Raquel,

Since the Senator has not received much of an update on the Frelo request to EPA, I think he would like to place a call with Administrator Johnson. Apparently, the EPA has not been responsive to Frelo requests and communications, and we have not received much info aside from the phone call with Mr. Breen. I would really appreciate it if you could let me know that you've shared the information I emailed you on Tuesday with Mr. Breen and other appropriate staff.

Any updates are appreciated, and our scheduler might be in touch with you again this afternoon to have a conversation with the Administrator regarding this matter.

Thanks,

Andrew



**{In Archive} Re: Frelol**  
**Raquel Snyder** to: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)

08/11/2008 05:24 PM

Archive:

This message is being viewed in an archive.

We are calling you now

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA  
Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550



**{In Archive} Re: Frelø**

**Raquel Snyder** to: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)

08/11/2008 03:40 PM

Archive:

This message is being viewed in an archive.

Wait! We were working under the impression Breen would be speaking to you. If the Senator would like to speak with someone, that changes the dynamic.

Please let me know if the Senator would like to be on a call.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder

U.S. EPA

Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations

(202) 564-9586

FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)" <Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov>



"Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)"  
<Andrew\_Grobmyer@pryor.senate.gov>

To Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

08/11/2008 03:35 PM

Subject Re: Frelø

Ok. I'd like to see if we could work that time in for the Senator to speak with Mr. Breen at that time. I'll try to find out if he might be available.

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov <Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov>

To: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)

Sent: Mon Aug 11 15:30:24 2008

Subject: Re: Frelø

We're trying to see if Barry Breen is available around 4:30PM to speak with you. I am waiting to hear back from his office to see if his schedule is clear.

Would you be available for a call at 4:30? What is the best number to reach you?

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder

U.S. EPA

Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations

(202) 564-9586

FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Grobmyer,  
Andrew (Pryor)"  
<Andrew\_Grobmyer  
@pryor.senate.go v> To  
Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc  
08/11/2008 03:11 Subject  
PM Re: Frelø

Thanks. I appreciate your efforts!

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov <Snyder.Raquel@epamail.epa.gov>  
To: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)  
Sent: Mon Aug 11 14:59:35 2008  
Subject: Re: Frelø

I understand and am doing my best. I'll ping them again.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
U.S. EPA  
Office of Congressional & Intergovernmental Relations  
(202) 564-9586  
FAX: (202) 501-1550

"Grobmyer,  
Andrew (Pryor)"  
<Andrew\_Grobmyer  
@pryor.senate.go v> To  
Raquel Snyder/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc  
08/11/2008 02:57 Subject  
PM Re: Frelø

Raquel,

Any chance we can receive something on this today? I just got another call from the Senator-he was hoping for any update today. Appreciate your help here.

Thanks,

Andrew

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: Grobmyer, Andrew (Pryor)

To: 'snyder.raquel@epa.gov' <snyder.raquel@epa.gov>

Sent: Mon Aug 11 10:19:51 2008

Subject: Frelø

Raquel,

My boss spoke with deputy administrator peacock this am about the frelo oil spill clean up issue we discussed late last week. I was not with him during the call, but i apologize for not giving you a heads up. He said the dep administrator needed some more info on the issue. Could you provide that to him? I think he needs some type of tracking/application #.

If you have any questions, please call me at 5016810959.

Thanks,

Andrew

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld